

ESTEEM Webinar for Teacher Educators: Implementing the Teaching Statistical Association Module

Stephanie Casey

NC STATE UNIVERSITY

Eastern Michigan University

Rick Hudson University of Southern Indiana Angela Broaddus Benedictine College

January 30, 2020 4:00-5:45 p.m. EST

EASTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY SOUTHERN



Webinar Goals

- Learn about the background for and content of the *Teaching* Statistical Association Module
- Learn CODAP's capabilities for analyzing bivariate data
- Experience selected activities and consider how to use them with preservice mathematics teachers
- Hear from 1 faculty implementer!



To access and share ESTEEM modules

. . .

Open the following link:

https://place.fi.ncsu.edu

Direct link to ESTEEM page

https://place.fi.ncsu.edu/local/catalog/course.php?id=22&ref=3



ESTEEM Modular Approach



Structure of a Module

Each Module has 2 Parts. Each part about 5-7 hours of materials

Each Part has 3 Sections:

Read & Watch

- Essential Materials: Readings, videos and quizzes
- Learn from Practice: videos with teachers and students!

Engage with Data

- Active statistics investigation using CODAP or other tools
- Focus on pedagogical aspects of investigations

Synthesize & Apply

 Activities, reflections, discussions that help teachers connect ideas and apply to practice of teaching



Why is Statistical Association Important?

• Commonly included as a key idea in K-12 statistics





Why is Statistical Association Important?

- Commonly included as a key idea in K-12 statistics
- Included in Common Core State Standards and other College/Career Ready Standards
 - Cluster in 8th Grade (Investigate patterns of association in bivariate data -- 4 standards)
 - Two clusters in High School (Summarize, represent, and interpret data on two categorical and quantitative variables; Interpret linear models -- 5 standards)
- Careers in the Big Data era require individuals to consider how variables are related
- Correlation and linear regression are fundamental to more advanced topics in statistics (e.g., multiple regression)



B.1 Statistical Association of Categorical Variables

- Review content knowledge topics while introducing CODAP analyses (e.g., marginal, conditional and joint relative frequencies, what it means for categorical data to be associated, and common representations for bivariate categorical data)
- Additional emphasis on
 - Using CODAP to investigate data
 - Student reasoning about and represent bivariate categorical data



B.1 Statistical association of categorical variables

This module focuses on the teaching and learning of statistical association. Part 1 addresses association of categorical variables.

Time expectation: 5-6 hours

Read & Watch

Essential Materials

- B.1.a Investigating Categorical Variables in CODAP
- B.1.b Common Student Approaches when Analyzing Bivariate Categorical Data
- 🔏 B.1.c Quiz on Read & Watch material
- Learn from Practice

B.1.d Student-created Graphs of Bivariate Categorical Data

Engage with Data

B.1.e Investigating Data about Granola Bars

Synthesize and Apply

B.1.f Discuss Representations of Bivariate Categorical Data







■ Untitled Document UNSAVED

Tables Graph Map Slider Calc Text Plugins

				Cases (33 cases)							13 (62%)		8 (38%
n (g)		Nuts	Chocolate	Peanut_ Butter	Corn_Syr up	Texture	Fruit	Organic		Fruit				
	3	No Nuts	Chocolate	No Pea	No Corn	Crunchy	No Fruit	Organic		No	22		22	
	3	Nuts	Chocolate	No Pea	Corn Sy	Crunchy	No Fruit	Not Org						
	3	Nuts	No Chocol	No Pea	Corn Sy	Chewy	No Fruit	Not Org	÷			2 (17%)		10 (839
	3	Nuts	No Chocol	No Pea	Corn Sy	Chewy	No Fruit	Not Org	Ē					
	4	Nuts	No Chocol	Peanut	Corn Sy	Crunchy	No Fruit	Not Org		ij				
2	2	No Nuts	Chocolate	Peanut	Corn Sy	Chewy	No Fruit	Not Org		F				
	5	Nuts	No Chocol	No Pea	Corn Sy	Chewy	Fruit	Not Org						
	3	Nuts	No Chocol	Peanut	No Corn	Crunchy	Fruit	Organic			••		ĕĕ	
	4	No Nuts	No Chocol	No Pea	No Corn	Crunchy	No Fruit	Not Org			Corn Syrup		No Corn Syrup	
	2	No Nuts	No Chocol	No Pea	No Corn	Chewy	Fruit	Organic				Corn_Syrup		
	2	No Nuts	Chocolate	No Pea	Corn Sy	Chewy	No Fruit	Not Org				Cases		
	2	No Nuts	No Chocol	No Pea	No Corn	Chewy	Fruit	Not Org	15	100				
	15	Nuts	No Chocol	Peanut	No Corn	Chewy	No Fruit	Not Org		100				
	2	Nuts	Chocolate	No Pea	Corn Sy	Chewy	No Fruit	Not Org		*0				
	4	No Nuts	Chocolate	No Pea	Corn Sy	Crunchy	No Fruit	Not Org	- -	80				
	2	No Nuts	No Chocol	Peanut	No Corn	Chewy	No Fruit	Organic		60				
	3	Nuts	Chocolate	No Pea	No Corn	Chewy	Fruit	Not Org	, t	60-				
	4	Nuts	No Chocol	Peanut	No Corn	Chewy	No Fruit	Not Org	ŭ	10				
	4	No Nuts	No Chocol	No Pea	No Corn	Crunchy	No Fruit	Not Org	P	40-				
	3	Nuts	No Chocol	No Pea	Corn Sy	Crunchy	No Fruit	Not Org		81 - C				
	1	No Nuts	Chocolate	No Pea	Corn Sy	Chewy	No Fruit	Not Org		20-				
	1	No Nuts	No Chocol	No Pea	No Corn	Chewy	Fruit	Organic						
<u></u>											Chewy		Crunchy	_
e	his data ant has information from the United States Department of Assistivity							Texture						
)la ra	ande	d Food Pro States in 2	oducts Datab 2017.	ase It inclu	des data ab	out 33 gra	nola bars s	old in the	P	Peanut_ No Pear	Butter nut Bu Peanut Butter			



Mod B: Part 1 Granola Bar Investigation

<u>Open the granola bar data set in CODAP.</u> This data set includes information from the Uni Department of Agriculture's Branded Food Products Database about a sample of 33 grand United States in 2017.

Begin by examining the data in the table. Look at the column headers of the table. These are the variables this data set has for the 33 granola bars.

- 1. What variables do you care about in a granola bar?
- 2. Select three categorical variables that a nutritionist might care about in determining whether a granola bar is 'healthy'?
- 3. What can we conclude about the texture of granola bars and whether their ingredients include nuts? Create a two-way binned plot using the attributes 'Nuts' and 'Texture'. Use this plot and CODAP's capabilities to complete the following statements.



Purpose: Explore how students ages 11-13 reason about association of categorical variables prior to instruction

- 2 parts of study
 - Student-made graphs
 - Interpretation of pre-made graphs
- 13 students (7 males, 6 females) ages 11-13
- Semi-structured, task-based interview



B.1.g Students' Reasoning about Segmented Bar Graph

	NO NUTS	NUTS
CHEWY	13	10
CRUNCHY	6	4





B.1.d Student-Created Graphs



Phoenix: Premium unleaded, regular unleaded, diesel, and midgrade unleaded. And then we do the numbers on the sides.







B.1.d Student-Created Graphs Cont.



Xavier:



Compare the three students' graph choices.

If you were to select/sequence these students' work for class discussion, how would you sequence them?

B.2 Statistical Association of Quantitative Variables

- Assume PSTs have a good level of common content knowledge (e.g., scatterplots, line of best fit, correlation coefficient)
- More emphasis on

*data investigations with CODAP

*how students intuitively think about, learn, and develop an understanding of quantitative association



B.2 Statistical Association of Quantitative Variables

This module focuses on the teaching and learning of statistical association. Part 2 addresses association of quantitative variables.

Time expectation: 6-7 hours

Read & Watch

Essential Materials

B.2.a Introducing Students to the Topic of Statistical Association

- B.2.b Measures of Association and Lines of Best Fit
- B.2.c Distinguishing Between Correlation and Causation
- B.2.d Quiz on Read and Watch Materials

Learn from Practice

B.2.e Considering Student Approaches to Placing the Informal Line of Best Fit

Engage with Data

- B.2.f Investigating Data about Vehicles
- B.2.g Teaching Statistics with CODAP

Synthesize and Apply

B.2.h Discuss Differences between Mathematics and Statistics in the Study of Association





Based on study I did with 8th graders "Examining Student Conceptions of Covariation: A Focus on Line of Best Fit", published in March 2015 issue of *Journal of Statistics Education*

http://ww2.amstat.org/publications/jse



B.2.f Investigating Data about Vehicles



Middle grades students are ready to think about how to quantify strength of association in bivariate quantitative data \rightarrow Quadrant Count Ratio (QCR)







Reflections on Implementing the Module

Angela Broaddus Benedictine College



Context

- School: Small Catholic liberal arts college
- Course: Secondary Math Methods
- Students: 4 seniors, 2 juniors
- Prior knowledge of statistics:
 - 4 seniors Probability and Statistics
 - 2 juniors no prior credit in Statistics

Course Rhythm

- 4 credits
- Two 2-hour meetings per week plus 20 clinical hours
- Implemented ESTEEM modules
 - 1.1, 1.2, A.1, A.2, B.1, B.2
 - Task Design Assignment
- Other course materials:





Implementation of B1 and B2

- •3 weeks
- •B.1.a,b,c HW
- •B.1.d discussed in class and completed as HW
- •B.1.e,g –in class
- •B.1.f HW
- •B.2.a,b,c in class
- •B.2.d HW
- •B.2.e,f,g in class
- •B.2.h HW one student per thread/topic. Then respond to other posts.
- •B.2.i in class

Takeaways

B1:

- New considerations for everyone particularly the representations
- Excellent comparisons for drawing on and clarifying proportional thinking.
- Each person developed "favorite" views
- These students developed new content knowledge as well as teaching ideas.

B2:

- Familiar content and representations
- Nice representations for revisiting the meaning of least squares regression
- Opportunity to revisit measures of association (i.e., r, r^2)
- Good opportunities to visit typical misconceptions of school-age students
- Good opportunity to revisit distinctions between math and statistics

Takeaways - Overall

- •Time constraints
- •"busywork" complaints
- •Use class time effectively.
- •My students preferred the teaching videos over the expert panels.
- •Students love CODAP!
- •Group work and conversations worked nicely.
- •The task assignment incorporated best practices from the *Taking Action* text really nicely. It was a great way to end the semester!



Principal Investigators:

Hollylynne Lee, North Carolina State University William Finzer, The Concord Consortium Stephanie Casey, Eastern Michigan University Rick Hudson, University of Southern Indiana

Research Associate:

Gemma Mojica, North Carolina State University

This project is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DUE 1625713 awarded to North Carolina State University. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed herein are those of the principal investigators and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

hirise.fi.ncsu.edu/projects/esteem/